UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,706	11/24/2003	Manabu Sawasaki	1324.66570	5369
24978 GREER, BURN	7590 08/19/200 IS & CRAIN	8	EXAMINER	
300 S WACKE		NGUYEN, DUNG T		
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,706	SAWASAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung Nguyen	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ The 3 This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23,25-28 and 31-36 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,25-28 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
··· _	nor	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a continuous applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the continuous and the continuous and the correct of the continuous and the contin	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Intoncious Surresson	(PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/720,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/22/2008 has been entered.

2. Applicant's amendment dated 04/22/2008 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 23, 25-28 and 31-36 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23, 28, 31-32 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lien et al., US 6,493,050.

Regarding claims 23, 28, 31-32 and 34-36, Lien et al. disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) device (figures 11A-11B, 3-4 and 17) comprising:

. a thin film transistor (TFT) substrate having a substrate (304) with resin color filters (306/600) and a pixel electrode (322);

Application/Control Number: 10/720,706 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

. a common electrode substrate having a second substrate (302) having a common electrode (338);

. a liquid crystal layer (101);

a columnar spacer having laminated resin layers (color filter stacks 600) and a second resin layer (602) that both contacted with the liquid crystal layer (layer between two substrates 610, 612);

. an alignment regulating structure (606).

Lien et al., however, do not disclose a photosensitive acrylic resin based material for the second resin layer. Lien et al do disclose the second resin layer can be made by a photoresin layer (col. 7, ln 20-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a photosensitive acrylic resin for the Lien et al. second resin layer, since it has been held to be within the; general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

5. Claims 25 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lien et al., US 6,493,050, in view of Kadota et al., US Patent 5,818,550.

Regarding the above claims, Lien et al disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the thickness of the second substrate. Kadota et al. do disclose the second substrate (12) can be thinner than the first substrate (0). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to form an upper surface (display side) thin and light in order to reduce side and birefringence on an LCD display side.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,706 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

6. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lien et al., US 6,493,050, in view of Kurematsu et al., US Patent No. 5,764,318.

Regarding the above claims, Lien et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the common substrate being of alkaline glass. Kurematsu et al. disclose an alkaline glass can be formed in an LCD device (col. 2, ln 64-67 and col. 3, ln 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ an alkaline substrate as shown by Kurematsu et al. for cost efficiency (Id.).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 04/22/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's only argument is that Lien fails to discloses or suggest both the second resin layer and each of the different color layers being configured to be in contact with the liquid crystal. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's viewpoint. In particular, according to Lien et al. figure 17, there is no layer between the color layer 601/the resin layer 602 and the liquid crystal layer (see figure 17 on the left side); therefore, such both color layer 601 and resin layer 602 should contact with the liquid crystal as claimed as well.

Accordingly, the rejection of the above claims stand.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/720,706

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

08/18/2008

/Dung T. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871